



Nancy Cory Elementary School

3540 West Avenue K-4 • Lancaster, CA, 93536 • 661-722-1010 • Grades K-6

David Denning, Principal

denningd@lancsd.org

www.nancycoryelementary.org

2016-17 School Accountability Report Card Published During the 2017-18 School Year

Lancaster School District

44711 N. Cedar Avenue
Lancaster, CA 93534
(661) 948-4661
www.lancsd.org

District Governing Board

Sandra Price, President

Keith Giles, Clerk

Diane Grooms, Member

Greg Tepe, Vice President

Duane Winn, Member

District Administration

Dr. Michele Bowers

Superintendent

Lexy Conte

Deputy Superintendent

Human Resources Services

Bart Hoffman

Assistant Superintendent

Educational Services

Larry Freise

Assistant Superintendent

Business Services

School Description

We believe in providing an environment and experiences by which individuals can develop mentally, physically, emotionally, socially, creatively, and morally. We believe in imparting transferable skills, knowledge, and values that will help our students surmount the challenges they will meet.

We believe in providing successful learning experiences for students of all ability levels, by using a variety of instructional materials, methods, technology, experiential opportunities, and teaching styles.

We believe in providing a school climate that maintains excellence in all facets of education, maximizes each child's potential, enhances each student's self-image, promotes awareness of the importance of education, fosters a sense of belonging, and inspires in each student a sense of responsibility to the school, to the environment, to self, and to others.

Students, parents, and staff will work as partners to provide a rigorous education in an environment that engages and empowers all children to become life-long learners. Students will be thinking, literate, productive, responsible, and ethical individuals who will be able to compete in and contribute to a diverse global society.

The educational community, as a reflection of society, should demonstrate concern and respect for others.

About the SARC

By February 1 of each year, every school in California is required by state law to publish a School Accountability Report Card (SARC). The SARC contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. Under the Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) all local educational agencies (LEAs) are required to prepare a Local Control and Accountability Plan (LCAP), which describes how they intend to meet annual school-specific goals for all pupils, with specific activities to address state and local priorities. Additionally, data reported in an LCAP is to be consistent with data reported in the SARC.

- For more information about SARC requirements, see the California Department of Education (CDE) SARC Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/ta/ac/sa/>.
- For more information about the LCFF or LCAP, see the CDE LCFF Web page at <http://www.cde.ca.gov/fg/aa/lc/>.
- For additional information about the school, parents/guardians and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Grade Level	
Grade Level	Number of Students
Kindergarten	121
Grade 1	111
Grade 2	105
Grade 3	87
Grade 4	102
Grade 5	110
Grade 6	86
Total Enrollment	722

2016-17 Student Enrollment by Group	
Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
Black or African American	23.7
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.7
Asian	1.4
Filipino	1.8
Hispanic or Latino	43.5
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	0
White	24
Two or More Races	5
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	72
English Learners	12.9
Students with Disabilities	10.4
Foster Youth	2.2

A. Conditions of Learning

State Priority: Basic

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Basic (Priority 1):

- Degree to which teachers are appropriately assigned and fully credentialed in the subject area and for the pupils they are teaching;
- Pupils have access to standards-aligned instructional materials; and
- School facilities are maintained in good repair.

Teacher Credentials			
Nancy Cory Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	25	28	
Without Full Credential	0	0	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	
Lancaster School District	15-16	16-17	17-18
With Full Credential	♦	♦	30
Without Full Credential	♦	♦	
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	♦	♦	

Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions at this School			
Nancy Cory Elementary School	15-16	16-17	17-18
Teachers of English Learners	0	0	
Total Teacher Misassignments	0	0	
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	

* "Misassignments" refers to the number of positions filled by teachers who lack legal authorization to teach that grade level, subject area, student group, etc. Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2017-18)

All textbooks were selected from the most recent list of standards-based materials adopted by the California State Board of Education and are consistent with the content and cycles of the curriculum frameworks adopted by the SBE. Textbooks are chosen after careful review and piloting by teachers and then are approved by the Board of Trustees. There are textbooks/instructional materials for each student in each subject. All books are in good to fair condition

Textbooks and Instructional Materials	
Year and month in which data were collected: March, 2017	
Core Curriculum Area	Textbooks and Instructional Materials/Year of Adoption
Reading/Language Arts	K-5 McGraw Hill Wonders / 2017 6 McGraw Hill Study Sync / 2017 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Mathematics	K-5 McGraw Hill My Math 2014 6 McGraw Hill California Math 2014 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
Science	K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman California Science / 2007 6 Glencoe Science Focus On / 2007 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%
History-Social Science	K-5 Pearson Scott Foresman History Social Science for California / 2006 6 McDougal Littell California Middle School Social Studies / 2006 The textbooks listed are from most recent adoption: Yes Percent of students lacking their own assigned textbook: 0%

Note: Cells with N/A values do not require data.

School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (Most Recent Year)

General

The district takes great efforts to ensure that all schools are clean, safe, and functional. To assist in this effort, the district uses a facility survey instrument developed by the Los Angeles County Office of Education. The results of this survey are available at the school office or at the district office.

School Buildings

Nancy Cory was built in 1989. Our main building houses the front, health, and principal's offices, staff lounge, cafeteria, and library. We have a total of 24 classrooms—18 are permanent and 6 are re-locatable.

Maintenance and Repair

District maintenance staff ensures that the repairs necessary to keep the school in good repair and working order are completed in a timely manner. A work order process is used to ensure efficient service and that emergency repairs are given the highest priority.

Cleaning Process and Schedule

The district governing board has adopted cleaning standards for all schools in the district. A summary of these standards is available at the district office. The Supervisor of Operations works daily with the custodial staff to develop cleaning schedules to ensure a clean and safe school.

Deferred Maintenance Budget

The district participates in the State School Deferred Maintenance Program, which provides state matching funds on a dollar-for-dollar basis, to assist school districts with expenditures for major repair or replacement of existing school building components. Typically, this includes roofing, plumbing, heating, air conditioning, electrical systems, interior or exterior painting, and floor systems. For the 2017-18 school year, the district has budgeted \$1,518,339.38 for the deferred maintenance program.

Deferred Maintenance

The asphalt play surfaces had crack fill, slurry, and new striping applied.

School Facility Good Repair Status (Most Recent Year)				
Year and month in which data were collected: December, 2014				
System Inspected	Repair Status			Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Good	Fair	Poor	
Systems: Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	X			
Interior: Interior Surfaces	X			
Cleanliness: Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	X			
Electrical: Electrical	X			
Restrooms/Fountains: Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	X			
Safety: Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	X			
Structural: Structural Damage, Roofs	X			
External: Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	X			
Overall Rating	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor
		X		

B. Pupil Outcomes

State Priority: Pupil Achievement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Pupil Achievement (Priority 4):

- Statewide assessments (i.e., California Assessment of Student Performance and Progress [CAASPP] System, which includes the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessments for students in the general education population and the California Alternate Assessments [CAAs] for English language arts/literacy [ELA] and mathematics given in grades three through eight and grade eleven. The CAAs have replaced the California Alternate Performance Assessment [CAPA] for ELA and mathematics, which were eliminated in 2015. Only eligible students may participate in the administration of the CAAs. CAA items are aligned with alternate achievement standards, which are linked with the Common Core State Standards [CCSS] for students with significant cognitive disabilities); and
- The percentage of students who have successfully completed courses that satisfy the requirements for entrance to the University of California and the California State University, or career technical education sequences or programs of study

2016-17 CAASPP Results for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Meeting or Exceeding the State Standards (grades 3-8 and 11)					
	School		District		State	
	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17	15-16	16-17
ELA	37	36	32	30	48	48
Math	24	26	16	17	36	37

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

CAASPP Test Results in Science for All Students						
Subject	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced (meeting or exceeding the state standards)					
	School		District		State	
	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16	14-15	15-16
Science	42	46	42	40	60	56

Note: Science test results include California Standards Tests (CSTs), California Modified Assessment (CMA), and California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA) in grades five, eight, and ten.

Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The 2016-17 data are not available. The California Department of Education is developing a new science assessment based on the Next Generation Science Standards for California Public Schools (CA NGSS). The new California Science Test (CAST) was piloted in spring 2017. The CST and CMA for Science will no longer be administered.

Grade Level	2016-17 Percent of Students Meeting Fitness Standards		
	4 of 6	5 of 6	6 of 6
5	21.1	22.9	29.4

* Percentages are not calculated when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**2015-16 CAASPP Results by Student Group
Science (grades 5, 8, and 10)**

Group	Number of Students		Percent of Students	
	Enrolled	with Valid Scores	w/ Valid Scores	Proficient or Advanced
All Students	100	99	99.0	46.5
Male	48	48	100.0	45.8
Female	52	51	98.1	47.1
Black or African American	25	24	96.0	20.8
Hispanic or Latino	46	46	100.0	47.8
White	20	20	100.0	60.0
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	81	80	98.8	43.8
Students with Disabilities	17	17	100.0	47.1

* Science test results include CSTs, CMA, and CAPA in grades five, eight, and ten. The "Proficient or Advanced" is calculated by taking the total number of students who scored at Proficient or Advanced on the science assessment divided by the total number of students with valid scores. Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

**School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - English Language Arts (ELA)
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven**

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	400	393	98.25	36.13
Male	198	194	97.98	33.51
Female	202	199	98.51	38.69
Black or African American	90	88	97.78	25
Hispanic or Latino	185	184	99.46	33.7
White	90	87	96.67	45.98
Two or More Races	20	20	100	45
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	301	296	98.34	32.09
English Learners	70	69	98.57	31.88
Students with Disabilities	49	46	93.88	6.52
Foster Youth	16	16	100	37.5

Note: ELA test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3--Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

School Year 2016-17 CAASPP Assessment Results - Mathematics
Disaggregated by Student Groups, Grades Three through Eight and Eleven

Student Group	Total Enrollment	Number Tested	Percent Tested	Percent Met or Exceeded
All Students	401	396	98.75	25.76
Male	198	195	98.48	26.15
Female	203	201	99.01	25.37
Black or African American	90	89	98.89	14.61
Hispanic or Latino	186	186	100	23.66
White	90	87	96.67	35.63
Two or More Races	20	20	100	25
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	302	299	99.01	23.08
English Learners	71	71	100	23.94
Students with Disabilities	49	47	95.92	6.38
Foster Youth	17	17	100	11.76

Note: Mathematics test results include the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment and the CAA. The "Percent Met or Exceeded" is calculated by taking the total number of students who met or exceeded the standard on the Smarter Balanced Summative Assessment plus the total number of students who met the standard (i.e., achieved Level 3–Alternate) on the CAAs divided by the total number of students who participated in both assessments.

Note: Double dashes (--) appear in the table when the number of students is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy.

Note: The number of students tested includes all students who participated in the test whether they received a score or not; however, the number of students tested is not the number that was used to calculate the achievement level percentages. The achievement level percentages are calculated using only students who received scores.

C. Engagement

State Priority: Parental Involvement

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: Parental Involvement (Priority 3):

- Efforts the school district makes to seek parent input in making decisions for the school district and each schoolsite.

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2017-18)

We seek to build positive partnerships with families and the community. The following opportunities for involvement exist at Nancy Cory Elementary School:

- Parent Teacher Organization
- School Site Council
- English Learner Advisory Committee
- AAAC

There are numerous other ways to get involved in your child's education. For further information, contact Principal, David Denning, at 661-722-1010.

State Priority: School Climate

The SARC provides the following information relevant to the State priority: School Climate (Priority 6):

- Pupil suspension rates;
- Pupil expulsion rates; and
- Other local measures on the sense of safety.

School Safety Plan

Safety Plans are revised and reviewed annually with the staff and School Site Council at the beginning of each school year. Key elements addressed by the plan include:

- Child abuse reporting procedures
- Disaster procedures regarding teacher notification of dangerous students
- Sexual harassment policy
- School-wide dress code
- Provisions for safe ingress and egress to and from school
- Provisions for a safe and orderly environment conducive to learning
- Rules and procedures on school discipline

- Procedures for reporting hate crime
- Emergency response procedures
- Bullying prevention, programs, and reporting procedures
- Suicide prevention policy and programs

A full description of all elements is available from the school office or from the Pupil Safety and Attendance Department of the District Office.

Suspensions and Expulsions			
School	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	1.5	4.3	4.7
Expulsions Rate	0.0	0.0	0.0
District	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	7.2	8.2	8.1
Expulsions Rate	0.3	0.2	0.3
State	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
Suspensions Rate	3.8	3.7	3.6
Expulsions Rate	0.1	0.1	0.1

D. Other SARC Information

The information in this section is required to be in the SARC but is not included in the state priorities for LCFF.

2017-18 Federal Intervention Program		
Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	In PI	In PI
First Year of Program Improvement	2007-2008	2004-2005
Year in Program Improvement	Year 5	Year 3
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	19	
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	90.5	

Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff at this School	
Number of Full-Time Equivalent (FTE)	
Academic Counselor	0
Counselor (Social/Behavioral or Career Development)	.62
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0
Library Media Services Staff (Paraprofessional)	.72
Psychologist	1.24
Social Worker	0
Nurse	.41
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	1.24
Resource Specialist	0
Other	0
Average Number of Students per Staff Member	
Academic Counselor	N/A

* One Full Time Equivalent (FTE) equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Elementary)												
Grade	Average Class Size			Number of Classrooms*								
				1-20			21-32			33+		
	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17
K	25	25	22	3	3	15	36	36	34			
1	24	24	25	3	3	3	24	24	23			
2	22	22	25	12	12	2	24	24	24			
3	24	24	23	4	4	11	27	27	27			
4	36	36	33	2	2	2				18	18	18
5	32	32	28	2	2	11				27	27	27
6	27	27	26	3	3	3	6	6	18	12	12	
Other	11	11	2	8	8	1						

* Number of classes indicates how many classes fall into each size category (a range of total students per class).

Professional Development provided for Teachers

The Lancaster School District provides professional development throughout the school year that trains and supports staff in the effective implementation of our adopted core and cornerstone programs including, but not limited to: 21st Century Instructional Strategies, Unit and Lesson Design and Delivery, Data Analysis, Leadership Training, Writing Instruction, Coaching, Direct Instruction, Conceptual Development, Building Academic Vocabulary, Culturally Responsive Teaching and Learning (including Capturing Kids Hearts), Integrating Technology into the Classroom, PBIS, Intervention, and Differentiation in Strategies for teaching EL, GATE, and at-risk students. High-quality, ongoing professional development opportunities are recognized as an important component in our work towards continuous improvement in student learning.

Ongoing opportunities include in-services during and after the work day as well as through site and district in-class and on-site coaching. All teachers are provided multiple opportunities to receive optional paid professional development. The 2016-17 school year calendar allows for 38 minimum days in which 17 days are utilized to provide 1-2 hours of district and site designed professional development specific to their needs. Outside workshops, conferences and other in-services that provide more in-depth training and further prepare our staff members to become trainers for our district are available as approved by the Board of Trustees.

Professional development supporting our instructional programs and services for students is also provided for our classified staff members. Additionally, workshops designed to improve technology skills, provide training with key software programs utilized in the district, and offer information on personal excellence and social responsibility are included in the professional development course offerings for classified staff throughout the school year.

FY 2015-16 Teacher and Administrative Salaries		
Category	District Amount	State Average for Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$42,951	\$48,678
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$75,803	\$78,254
Highest Teacher Salary	\$98,637	\$96,372
Average Principal Salary (ES)	\$124,365	\$122,364
Average Principal Salary (MS)	\$122,802	\$125,958
Average Principal Salary (HS)		\$126,758
Superintendent Salary	\$187,542	\$212,818
Percent of District Budget		
Teacher Salaries	36%	38%
Administrative Salaries	5%	5%

* For detailed information on salaries, see the CDE Certificated Salaries & Benefits webpage at www.cde.ca.gov/ds/fd/cs/.

FY 2015-16 Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries				
Level	Expenditures Per Pupil			Average Teacher Salary
	Total	Restricted	Unrestricted	
School Site	\$7,119	\$1,785	\$5,335	\$78,451
District	◆	◆	\$5,355	\$78,340
State	◆	◆	\$6,574	\$78,363
Percent Difference: School Site/District			-0.4	9.6
Percent Difference: School Site/ State			-6.0	4.4

* Cells with ◆ do not require data.

Types of Services Funded

Local Control Funding Formula (LCFF) Base, Supplemental, and Concentration funds are utilized as outlined in the Local Control Accountability Plan (LCAP) to insure that all students receive the intervention or enrichment support and services that are needed to help them become proficient in academic areas and details the actions associated with meeting the specific needs of English Learners, foster and homeless youth, and low-income students. Before and after school tutoring for at risk and EL students may also be available through Title I funding.

DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE DataQuest Web page at <http://dq.cde.ca.gov/dataquest/> that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district and the county. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., test data, enrollment, high school graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions may include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.